

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/760,386 | 01/21/2004 | Tadafumi Yokota | Q79278 | 1322 | |
| 23373 | 7590 05/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A | | |
| 2100 PENNS SUITE 800 | YLVANIA AVENUE | N.W. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC 20037 | | 1621 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 10/760,386 | YOKOTA ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Sikarl A. Witherspoon | 1621 |

| Before the fining of an Appear 2110. | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|---|---|---|--|
| • | Sikarl A. Witherspoon | 1621 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | " | 61 - J. 10-1 - K | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | e appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | hut wis to the date of filling a brief | will not be entered b | 0001100 |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | • | • |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-4</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a l). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 13. Other: | Dobkcht | | |
| SUPERVIS | HANN RICHTEN BRY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1200 | | |
| | いれいい 「ルタ ♥~ | | |